Earth Science Applications of Space Based Geodesy DES-7355 Tu-Th 9:40-11:05

Seminar Room in 3892 Central Ave. (Long building)

Bob Smalley Office: 3892 Central Ave, Room 103 678-4929 Office Hours – Wed 14:00-16:00 or if 1'm in my office.

http://www.ceri.memphis.edu/people/smalley/ESCI7355/ESCI_7355_Applications_of_Space_Based_Geodesy.html

Class 2

Coordínate systems

Simple spherical

Geodetic – with respect to ellipsoid normal to surface does not intersect origin [in general]

ECEF XYZ - earth centered, earth fixed xyz. Is what it says.

GEODETIC COORDINATES: LATITUDE



(Herring)

LONGITUDE



The "problem" arises because we're defining the "location" (latitude) based on the orientation of the "surface" of the earth (not the ellipsoid) <u>at the point</u> where we want to determine the location.



(Assuming vertical perpendicular to "level".)

"shape" of the surface of the earth - with the variations greatly exaggerated. For now we're not being very specific about what the surface represents/how it is defined. This means that we have to take the "shape" of the surface into account in defining our reference frame.



We are still not even considering the vertical. We're still only discussing the problem of 2-D location on the surface of the earth.

Image from: http://kartoweb.itc.nl/geometrics/Reference%20surfaces/refsurf.html



These datums were "best fits" for the regions that they covered. They could be quite bad (up to 1 km error) outside those regions however.



These datums are also not "earth centered" (origin not center of mass of earth). Converting from one to another not trivial in practice.



Real shape can also have uniqueness problem - using level - more than one spot with same "latitude"!



"Modern" solution is an earth centered global "best fit" ellipsoid to the shape of the earth - the geoid.



Here we introduce the "thing" that defines the "shape" of the earth - the GEOID.

The geoid is what defines the local vertical (and where gravity sneaks in).

Image from: http://kartoweb.itc.nl/geometrics/Reference%20surfaces/refsurf.html

The geoid is a <u>physical</u> thing (like the topographic surface) - an equipotential of the gravity field.



But we may not be able to "locate" it (can't see it like we can the topographic surface). So we have to make a "model" for the geoid.

Image from: http://kartoweb.itc.nl/geometrics/Reference%20surfaces/refsurf.html

Here we introduce the concept of "physical" vs "geometric" position.

The geoid (since it depends on the actual "shape "of the earth, and we will see that it directly effects traditional measurements of latitude) gives a physical definition of position.

Here we introduce the concept of "physical" vs "geometric" position.

The ellipsoid gives a geometric definition of position (and we will see that "modern" positioning – GPS for example – works in this system – even though gravity and other physics effects the system).

Here we introduce the concept of "physical" vs "geometric" position.

The horizontal "datum" is a best fit ellipsoid (to a region or the whole earth) to the shape (geoid) used as a coordinate system for specifying horizontal position.

WHAT ABOUT HEIGHT

Geocentric coordinates (ϕ , λ , h) (this is based on standard spherical coordinate system with $h \approx R - R_e$, height is clearly defined, simple to understand).



WHAT ABOUT HEIGHT For the Ellipsoid coordinates (φ, λ, h) - Ellipsoidal/ Geodetic height. Distance of a point from the ellipsoid measured along the perpendicular from the ellipsoid to this point.



What about HEIGHT

For the Geoid things get a little more interesting.

The height is the distance of a point from the geoid measured along the perpendicular from the geoid (direction from gravity) to this point.

Notice that -

the height above the geoid (red line) may not be/is not the same as the ellipsoid height (blue line) and that height above the geoid may not be unique

Image from: http://kartoweb.itc.nl/geometrics/Reference%20surfaces/refsurf.html



What about HEIGHT

when we use a level to find the vertical (traditional surveying) we are measuring with respect to the geoid (what is the "geoid"?).



This brings us to a fundamental problem in Geodesy ----

"Height" is a common, ordinary everyday word and everyone knows what it means.

Or, more likely, everyone has an idea of what it means, but nailing down an exact definition is surprisingly tricky. '

Thomas Meyer, University of Connecticut

The geoid is the "actual" shape of the earth. Where the word "actual" is in quotes for a reason!



The geoid is a representation of the surface the earth would have if the sea covered the earth.

This is <u>not</u> the surface one would get if one poured more water on the earth until there is no more dry land!

It is the shape a fluid Earth (of the correct volume) would have if that fluid Earth had exactly the same gravity field as the actual Earth.

Where did this reference to the gravity field sneak in?

Since water is a fluid, it cannot support shear stresses.

This means that the surface of the sea (or of a lake, or of water in a bucket, etc.) will be



(or else it will flow until the surface of the body of water is everywhere in this state).

So the definition of the "shape" of the earth, the geoid, is <u>intimately and inseparably</u> tied to the earth's gravity field.

This is good gravity is one of the most well understood branches of Physics.

This is bad

the gravity field of the earth depends on the details of the mass distribution within the earth (which do not depend on the first principles of physics - the mass distribution of the earth is as we find it!). The geoid is a representation of the surface the earth would have if a sea covered an earth with the same gravity field.

It is the shape a fluid Earth would have if it had <u>exactly</u> the same gravity field as the actual Earth.

The definition is clear concise, and well defined physically.

Problems arise when trying to find where this surface actually physically resides due to things like

-- currents, winds, tides effecting "sea level"

-- where is this imaginary surface located on land? (generally below the land surface - except where the land surface is below sea level, e.g. Death Valley, Dead Sea - it is the level of fluid in channels cut through the land [approximately].)

So - what does this surface - the geoid -actually look like? (greatly exaggerated in the

vertical)





Bad joke for the day

"What's up?"

"Perpendicular to the geoid."

2. Geodesy

Shape of the earth / gravity, geoid (physical) reference frames, ellipsoids (geometric) The Direction of Gravity



From Mulcare or http://www.ordnancesurvey.co.uk/oswebsite/gps/information/coordinatesystemsinto/guidecontents/guide2.html





What is the <u>Geoid</u>?

Since the geoid is a complicated physical entity that is practically indescrible -

Find a "best fit" ellipsoid (and look at variations with respect to this ellipsoid).

Current NGS definition

The equipotential surface of the Earth's gravity field which best fits, in a least squares sense, global mean sea level. And now following the axiom that "one person's noise is another person's signal"

-- Geodesy uses gravity to define the geoid (which we will later see is the reference for traditional forms of measuring height).

-- Geophysics uses gravity variations, known as <u>anomalies</u>, to learn about density variations in the interior of the earth to interpret figure in background! One can (some people do) make a career of modeling the "actual "geoid by using spherical harmonic expansions of the geoid with respect to the ellipsoidal best fit geoid.

There are ~40,000 terms in the "best" expansions. Famous "pear" shape of earth.





Geodetic Reference Surfaces

A beachball globe

Mathematical best fit to Earth's surface: used for defining Latitude and Longitude

Modeled best fit to "sea surface" equipotential gravity field used for defining Elevation

The real deal

Fig from NGS: file:///C:/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bob/My%20Documents/geodesy/noaa/geo03_figure.html

Heights and Vertical Datums Define location by triplet - (latitude, longitude, height)






Figure 1: Ellipsoidal height versus orthometric height with respect to vertical datum, j.

Jekeli, 2002: http://www.fgg.uni-lj.si/~/mkuhar/Zalozba/Heights_Jekeli.pdf



H (Orthometric Height) = Distance from P to P_0

Little problem – geoid defined by equipotential surface, can't measure where this is on continents (sometimes even have problems in oceans), can only measure direction of <u>perpendicular</u> to this surface and <u>force of gravity</u>. ³⁹



Two questions -

Given density distribution, can we calculate the gravitational field?

Yes - Newton's law of universal gravitation

2

Given volume V, bounded by a surface S, and some information about gravity on S, can you find gravity inside V (where V may or may not contain mass)?

Qualified yes (need g or normal gradient to potential everywhere on surface)

Potential Fields

As was mentioned earlier, the geoid/mean sea level is defined with respect to an equipotential surface.

So how do we connect what we need (the equipotential surface) with what we have/can measure (direction and magnitude of the force of gravity)

Use potential field theory

So, first what are Fields?

A field is a function of space and/or time.

Examples of scalar fields

temperature topography



J vogt -- http://www.faculty.iu-bremen.de/jvogt/edu/spring03/NatSciLab2-GeoAstro/nslga2-lecture2.pdf

Examples of vector fields

streamlines

slopes

Vector map



J vogt -- http://www.faculty.iu-bremen.de/jvogt/edu/spring03/NatSciLab2-GeoAstro/nslga2-lecture2.pdf

Examples of vector fields

streamlines

slopes

Plot streamlines





2.0

2.5 3.0

2.0 2.5 3.0

We are interested in

Force fields

describe forces acting at each point of space at a given time

Examples:

gravity field magnetic field electrostatic field

Fields can be scalar, vector or tensor

We know that work is the product of a force applied through a distance.

$$W = -\int_{0}^{x_{1}} F(x) dx$$

 x_0

If the work done is independent of the path taken from x_0 to x_1 , the work done depends only on the <u>starting</u> and <u>ending</u> positions.



A force with this type of special property is said to be a "conservative" force. If we move around in a conservative force field and return to the starting point – by using the blue path to go from A to B and then return to A using the red path for example – the work is zero.



We can write this as

$$\oint_{C} F \bullet dl = 0$$

Important implication of conservative force field

$$\oint \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{l} = \iint_{S} \left(\nabla \times \vec{F} \right) \cdot d\vec{S} \quad \text{Stoke's theorem}$$

if $\oint \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{l} = 0$ for all closed paths, then closed path

 $\iint_{S} \left(\nabla \times \vec{F} \right) \bullet d\vec{S} = 0 \quad \text{for all surfaces means}$

 $\nabla \times \vec{F} = 0$ everywhere (curl free vector field) and since $\nabla \times \nabla U = 0$ for all scalar functions *U*, then we can write $\vec{F} = \nabla U$

A conservative force field is the derivative (gradient in 3-D) of a scalar field (function)!

This means our work integral is the solution to the differential equation

$$F(x) = -\frac{dU(x)}{dx}$$

Where we can define a scalar "potential" function U(x) that is a function of position only and

$$U(x) = -\int_{x_0}^{x} F(x)dx + U(x_0)$$

Where we have now included an arbitrary constant of integration. The potential function, U(x), is only defined within a constant — this means we can put the position where U(x)=0 where we want. It also makes it hard to determine its "absolute", as opposed to "relative" value.

So now we have the pair of equations

$$g(x) = -\frac{dU(x)}{dx}$$
$$U(x) = -\int_{x_0}^x g(x)dx + U(x_0)$$

If you know U(x), you can compute g(x), where I have changed the letter "F" for force to "g" for gravity. If you know the force g(x) and that it is conservative, then you can computer U(x) - to within a constant.

$$U(x) = -\int_{x_0}^{x} g(x) dx + U(x_0)$$

U(x) is potential, the negative of the work done to get to that point.

So to put this to use we now have to ---

1) Show that gravity is a conservative force and therefore has an associated potential energy function.

2) Determine the gravity potential and gravity force fields for the earth

(first approximation - spherical next approximation - ellipsoidal shape due to rotation and then adjust for rotation)

3) Compare with real earth

Newton's Universal Law of Gravitation

 $\vec{F} = G \frac{m_1 m_2}{r^2} \hat{r}$ where \vec{F} is the force m_1 and m_2 are the masses r is the distance between them \hat{r} is the unit vector separating them and G is the universal gravitational constant In geophysics one of the masses is usually the earth so

$$\vec{F} = G \frac{M_e m}{r^2} \hat{r}$$



we can define

 $\vec{F} = m\vec{a}$

 $\vec{a} = G \frac{M_e}{r^2} \hat{r} = -\vec{g}$ the acceleration due to gravity (the minus sign is to make the force attractive, with \hat{r} pointing outwards)

Is gravity conservative?

for a mass distribution the acceleration becomes

$$\vec{a} = G \frac{\rho}{r^2} \frac{dV}{r^2} \hat{r}$$
check (only works $r > r_0$ since using M_e)
$$\oint \vec{g} \cdot d\vec{r} = \oint G \frac{M_e}{r^2} \hat{r} \cdot d\vec{r} = \oint G \frac{M_e}{r^2} dr$$
closed path
$$d\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) = -\frac{1}{r^2}, \text{ and throwing out "-" sign now}$$

$$\oint G \frac{M_e}{r^2} dr = GM_e \oint_{closed path} d\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) = GM_e \frac{1}{r}\Big|_{x_0}^{x_0} = 0$$

So gravity is a conservative force (in general any central force field which depends only on r is conservative)

Now we can define the potential as the work done to bring a unit mass from infinity to a distance r (set the work at infinity to zero)

$$work = U = \int_{\infty}^{r_0} \vec{g} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_{\infty}^{r_0} G \frac{M_e}{r^2} \hat{r} \cdot d\vec{r} = GM_e \frac{1}{r} \Big|_{\infty}^{r_0} = \frac{GM_e}{r_0}$$

for infintesimal mass

 $work = U = \frac{Gdm}{r}$

So we can write the force field as the derivative of a
scalar potential field in 1-D
$$g(x) = -\frac{dU(x)}{dx}$$

going to 3-D, it becomes a vector equation and we have
 $\vec{g}(\vec{x}) = -\nabla U(\vec{x})$
Which in spherical coordinates is
 $\vec{g}(r,\theta,\phi) = -\nabla U(r,\theta,\phi) = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\hat{r} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\hat{\theta} + \frac{1}{r\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\hat{\phi}\right)U(r,\theta,\phi)$
 $\vec{g}(r,\theta,\phi) = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\hat{r} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\hat{\theta} + \frac{1}{r\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\hat{\phi}\right)\left(\frac{Gdm}{r}\right)$
 $\vec{g}(r) = \frac{Gdm}{r^2}\hat{r}$

Apply to our expression for the gravity potential

$$\vec{g}(r,\theta,\phi) = -\nabla U(r,\theta,\phi) = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\hat{r} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\hat{\theta} + \frac{1}{r\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\hat{\phi}\right)U(r,\theta,\phi)$$

$$U = \frac{Gdm}{r} \qquad (a \text{ scalar})$$

$$\vec{g}(r,\theta,\phi) = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\hat{r} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\hat{\theta} + \frac{1}{r\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\hat{\phi}\right)\left(\frac{Gdm}{r}\right)$$

 $\vec{g}(r) = \frac{Gdm}{r^2}\hat{r}$

Which agrees with what we know

To find the total potential of gravity we have to integrate over all the point masses in a volume.



$$U(x',y',z') = \frac{Gdm}{q} = \frac{Gdm}{\sqrt{(x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2 + (z-z')^2}}$$

To find the total potential of gravity we have to integrate over all the point masses in a volume.



$$U(x',y',z') = \iiint_{V} \frac{Gdm}{q} dV = G \iiint_{V} \frac{dm}{\sqrt{(x-x')^{2} + (y-y')^{2} + (z-z')^{2}}} dxdydz$$

If things are spherically symmetric it is easier to work in spherical coordinates



 $U(P) = \iiint_{V} \frac{G\rho}{q} \left(r^{2} \sin\theta \, dr d\theta d\phi \right), \text{ with constant } \rho$ $U(P) = G\rho \int_{0}^{R} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{1}{q} r^{2} \sin\theta \, dr d\theta d\phi$

Figures from: right - Ahern, http://geophysics.ou.edu/gravmag/potential/gravity_potential.html#newton, left - http://www.siu.edu/~cafs/surface/file13.html

Grinding thorugh

$$U(P) = G\rho \int_{0}^{R} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{1}{q} r^{2} \sin\theta \, dr d\theta d\phi$$

use/substitute $q^{2} = r^{2} + s^{2} - 2rs\cos\theta$
 $U(P) = 2\pi G\rho \int_{0}^{R} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^{2} + s^{2} - 2rs\cos\theta}} r^{2} \sin\theta \, dr d\theta$
 $U(P) = 2\pi G\rho \int_{0}^{R} \int_{1}^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^{2} + s^{2} - 2rsu}} r^{2} \, dr du$ (use $u = \cos\theta$)

Grinding thorugh

$$U(P) = 2\pi G \rho \int_{0}^{R} \int_{1}^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^{2} + s^{2} - 2rsu}} r^{2} dr du, u = \cos\theta$$
use $\int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{a + bx}} = \frac{2\sqrt{a + bx}}{b}$

$$U(P) = \frac{2\pi G \rho}{s} \int_{0}^{R} \frac{\left|\sqrt{r^{2} + s^{2} + 2rs} - \sqrt{r^{2} + s^{2} - 2rs}\right|}{r} r^{2} dr$$

$$U(P) = \frac{2\pi G \rho}{s} \int_{0}^{R} \frac{\left|(s + r) - (s - r)\right|}{r} r^{2} dr$$

$$U(P) = \frac{4\pi G \rho}{s} \int_{0}^{R} r^{2} dr = G \frac{4\pi R^{3}}{3} \frac{\rho}{s} = \frac{G(V\rho)}{s} = \frac{GM}{s}$$

$$g = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial s} = \frac{GM}{s^{2}}$$

So for a uniform density sphere

The potential and force of gravity at a point P, a distance $s \ge R$ from the center of the sphere, are



$$U(P) = \frac{GM}{s}$$
$$\vec{g}(P) = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial s} = \frac{GM}{s^2}\hat{s}$$

Note that in seismology the vector displacement field solution for P waves is also curl free.

This means it is the gradient of a scalar field – call it the P wave potential.

So one can work with a scalar wave equation for P waves, which is easier than a vector wave equation, and take the gradient at the end to get the physical P wave displacement vector field.

(This is how it is presented in many introductory Seismology books such as Stein and Wysession.)

Unfortunately, unlike with gravity, there is no physical interpretation of the P wave potential function.



FIGURE 14-4 Gravitational attraction of a section *dS* of a spherical shell of matter on a particle of mass *m*.

Next ex: Force of gravity from spherical shell

$$dV = 2\pi tr^{2} \sin\theta d\theta, \quad Dm = \rho \, dV$$

$$dF = G \frac{mdM}{x^{2}} \cos\alpha = 2\pi \, Gm\rho \, tr^{2} \frac{\sin\theta \, d\theta}{x^{2}} \cos\alpha$$

from geometry $\cos\alpha = \frac{R - r\cos\theta}{x}$
law of cosines $x^{2} = R^{2} + r^{2} - 2Rr\cos\theta$ gives $r\cos\theta = \frac{R^{2} + r^{2} - x^{2}}{2R}$
 $2xdx = 2R\sin\theta \, d\theta$ so $\sin\theta \, d\theta = \frac{x}{Rr} \, dx$
combine $dF = \frac{\pi \, Gt\rho \, mr}{R^{2}} \left(\frac{R^{2} - r^{2}}{x^{2}} + 1\right) dx$

After Halliday and Resnick, Fundamentals of Physics



Force of gravity from spherical shell

FIGURE 14-4 Gravitational attraction of a section dS of a spherical shell of matter on a particle of mass m.

$$dF = \frac{\pi \,Gt\rho \,mr}{R^2} \left(\frac{R^2 - r^2}{x^2} + 1\right) dx$$

integrate over all circular strips (is integral over x)

$$F = \frac{\pi Gt\rho mr}{R^2} \int_{R-r}^{R+r} \left(\frac{R^2 - r^2}{x^2} + 1 \right) dx = \frac{\pi Gt\rho mr}{R^2} \left[-\frac{R^2 - r^2}{x} + x \right]_{R-r}^{R+r} = \frac{\pi Gt\rho mr}{R^2} 4r$$

but $M = 4\pi\rho r^2$ so $F = G\frac{Mm}{R^2}$

Uniformly dense spherical shell attracts external mass as if all its mass were concentrated at its center.

After Halliday and Resnick, Fundamentals of Physics

From inside a shell, the lower limit of integration changes to r-R and we get zero.

$$F = \frac{\pi Gt\rho mr}{R^2} \int_{r-R}^{R+r} \left(\frac{R^2 - r^2}{x^2} + 1 \right) dx = \frac{\pi Gt\rho mr}{R^2} \left[-\frac{R^2 - r^2}{x} + x \right]_{r-R}^{R+r} = 0$$

D

For a solid sphere – we can make it up of concentric shells.

Each shell has to have a uniform density, although different shells can have different densities (density a function of radius only - think "earth").



From outside – we can consider all the mass to be concentrated at the center. Now we need to find the potential and force for our ellispsoid of revolution (a nearly spherical body). (note that we are not starting from scratch with a spinning, self gravitating fluid body and figuring out its equilibrium shape - we're going to find the gravitational potential and force for an almost, but not quite spherical body.)

EARTH'S GRAVITY FIELD
Calculate the potential at a point P (outside) due to a nearly spherical body (the earth).

Set up the geometry for the problem:

For simplicity - put the origin at the center of mass of the body and let P be on an axis.





Calculate the potential at a point P due to a nearly spherical body.





Calculate the potential at a point P due to a nearly spherical body.



where each integral is multiplied by a different order of $\frac{1}{R}$, so rename

$$U \approx U_0 + U_1 + U_2$$

now

$$U_0 = -\frac{G}{R} \int_{Volume} dm = -\frac{GM}{R}$$
 same result for sphere with uniform density

(all mass at point at center)

Calculate the potential at a point P due to a nearly spherical body.



z is projection of S on z axis.

This is the equation for the center of mass (first moment), but we have placed

the origin at the center of mass, so this integral is zero. $U_1 = \frac{G}{R^2} z_{center of mass} = 0$

Calculate the potential at a point P due to a nearly spherical body.





now what are $\int 2S^2 dm$ *Volume*

 $\int 3s'^2 dm$ Volume

Calculate the potential at a point P due to a nearly spherical body.



start with

$$3\int_{Volume} s'^2 dm$$

notice from figure that this is just the moment of intertia about an axis from the origin to the point P

 $I_{OP} = \int_{Volume} r^2 dm$, where *r* is perpendicular distance from rotation axis.

Calculate the potential at a point P due to a nearly spherical body.



now for the last term, it too is an integral of distances from the origin to all points in a body. Can we massage this into something that looks like moments of inertia?

(yes, or we would not be asking!)



$$U_{3'} = \frac{G}{2R^3} \int_{Volume} 2S^2 \, dm$$

S is the distance to a point in the body, which is invarient under coordinate rotations, so

$$S^{2} = x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2} = x'^{2} + y'^{2} + z'^{2}$$

where the primed values are in the principal coordinate system for the moments of intertia (same idea as principal coordinate system for stress and strain).

Calculate the potential at a point P due to a nearly spherical body.



where each of the terms is the principal moment of inertia about the z', y' and x' axes respectively.

$$U_{3'} = \frac{G}{2R^3} (I_1 + I_2 + I_3), \text{ so}$$
$$U_3 = \frac{G}{2R^3} (I_1 + I_2 + I_3 - 3I_{OP})$$



putting it all together

$$U = -G \int_{Volume} \frac{dm}{r} = V_1 + V_3 = -\frac{GM}{R} - \frac{G}{2R^3} \left(I_1 + I_2 + I_3 - 3I_{OP} \right)$$

Potential for sphere plus adjustments for principal moments of inertia and moment of inertia along axis from origin to point of interest, P. This is MacCullagh's formula for the potential of a nearly spherical body

Calculate the potential at a point P due to a nearly spherical body.



$$U = -G \int_{Volume} \frac{dm}{r} = V_1 + V_3 = -\frac{GM}{R} - \frac{G}{2R^3} \left(I_1 + I_2 + I_3 - 3I_{OP} \right)$$

For a sphere
$$I_1 = I_2 = I_3 = I_{op}$$
 and
 $U = -\frac{GM}{R}$
(which we knew already)

86



So here's our semi-final result for the potential of an approximately spherical body

$$U = -G \int_{Volume} \frac{dm}{r} = U_1 + U_3 = -\frac{GM}{R} - \frac{G}{2R^3} (I_1 + I_2 + I_3 - 3I_{OP})$$

Now let's look at a particular approximately spherical body - the ellipsoid



for an ellipsoid $I_1 = I_2 \neq I_3$

 $I_{OP} = I_{1}\cos^{2}\theta + I_{3}\sin^{2}\theta, \text{ where } \theta \text{ is latitude (rotate into prin. coord. sys.)}$ $I_{OP} = I_{1}(1 - \sin^{2}\theta) + I_{3}\sin^{2}\theta = I_{1} + (I_{3} - I_{1})\sin^{2}\theta$ so $(I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3} - 3I_{OP}) = (2I_{1} + I_{3} - 3(I_{1} + (I_{3} - I_{1})\sin^{2}\theta))$ $(I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3} - 3I_{OP}) = (I_{3} - I_{1})(1 - 3\sin^{2}\theta)$ 88

$$U = -G \int_{Volume} \frac{dm}{r} = U_1 + U_3 = -\frac{GM}{R} - \frac{G}{2R^3} (I_1 + I_2 + I_3 - 3I_{OP})$$

so for an ellipsoid this becomes

$$U = -\frac{GM}{R} + \frac{G}{2R^3} (I_3 - I_1) (3\sin^2 \theta - 1)$$
$$U = -\frac{GM}{R} \left(1 - \frac{(I_3 - I_1)}{2MR^2} (3\sin^2 \theta - 1) \right)$$

This is MacCullagh's formula for the potential of an an ellipsoid

$$U = -\frac{GM}{R} \left(1 - \frac{(I_3 - I_1)}{2MR^2} (3\sin^2 \theta - 1) \right)$$

the term $(3\sin^2\theta - 1)$ is the Legendre Polynomial

$$P_2(x) = 3x^2 - 1, \quad P_2(\cos\theta) = 3\cos^2\theta - 1$$

letting
$$J_2 = \frac{(I_3 - I_1)}{MR_e^2} = 1.08263 \ 10^{-3}$$

 J_2 has various names including "dynamic form factor" and " ellipticity coefficient

$$U(R,\theta) = \left(-\frac{GM}{R} + \frac{GMR_e^2}{2R^3}J_2P_2(\cos\theta)\right) = -\frac{GM}{R}\left(1 - \frac{R_e^2}{2R^2}J_2P_2(\cos\theta)\right)$$

So the final result for the potential has two parts the result for the uniform sphere plus a correction for the ellipse

Now we can find the force of gravity

$$U(R,\theta) = -\frac{GM}{R} \left(1 - \frac{R_e^2}{2R^2} J_2 P_2(\cos\theta) \right)$$

now to find $\vec{g}(r)$, we take the derivative

$$\vec{g}(r,\theta) = -\frac{\partial U(r,\theta)}{\partial r}\hat{r} = \left(\frac{GM}{r^2} - \frac{3GMR_e^2}{2r^4}J_2P_2(\cos\theta)\right)\hat{r}$$

This is MacCullagh's formula for the gravity of an ellipsoid.

Differential form of Newton's law -

So far we've looked at the "integral" form for Newton's gravitational force law.

$$\vec{g}(\vec{x}) = -G \int_{V} \frac{\rho(\vec{x}') \ d\vec{x}'^3}{d^2}$$

But we also have

 $\vec{g}(\vec{x}) = \nabla U(\vec{x})$

Which is a differential equation for the potential U. Can we relate U to the density without the integral?

Poisson's and Laplace's equations

Start with Gauss' s/Divergence theorem for vector fields

$$\int_{S} \vec{F} \bullet d\vec{a} = \int_{V} \nabla \bullet \vec{F} dV$$



Which says the flux out of a volume equals the divergence throughout the volume.





Point M inside volume



Point Moutside volume

Gauss's/Divergence Theorem: $\int \vec{g} \cdot d\vec{a} = \int \nabla \cdot \vec{g} \, dV$ work on left hand side: $\vec{g} = -\frac{GM}{r^2}\hat{r}, \quad \frac{1}{r^2}\hat{r} \cdot d\vec{a} = d\Omega$ $\int \vec{g} \cdot d\vec{a} = -\int GM \, d\Omega = -4\pi \, GM = -4\pi \, G\int \rho \, dV$ $\int \vec{g} \cdot d\vec{a} = -4\pi \ G \int \rho \ dV = \int \nabla \cdot \vec{g} \ dV$

Ahern: http://geophysics.ou.edu/solid_earth/notes/laplace/laplace.html





Point M inside volume

Point Moutside volume

Gauss's/Divergence Theorem:

$$\int_{S} \vec{g} \cdot d\vec{a} = \int_{V} \nabla \cdot \vec{g} \, dV$$

 $-4\pi G \int_{V} \rho \, dV = \int_{V} \nabla \bullet \vec{g} \, dV \quad \text{since this holds for arbitrary}$

volumes, the integrands of the two integrals have to be equal

- $\nabla \cdot \vec{g} = -4\pi G\rho$ for M inside volume
- $\nabla \cdot \vec{g} = 0$ for M outside volume

(does not work ON surface where there is a density discontinuity)





Point M inside volume

Point Moutside volume

 $\nabla \cdot \vec{g} = -4\pi G\rho$ for M inside volume $\nabla \cdot \vec{g} = 0$ for M outside volume now use $\vec{g} = -\nabla U$

 $\nabla^2 U = -4\pi \, G\rho$ $\nabla^2 U = 0$

for M inside volume - Poisson's Eq. for M outside volume - Laplace's Eq.





Point M inside volume

Point Moutside volume

$$\nabla^2 U = -4\pi \, G\rho$$
$$\nabla^2 U = 0$$

for M inside volume - Poisson's Eq. for M outside volume - Laplace's Eq.

So the equation for the potential, a scalar field (easier to work with than a vector field) satisfies Poisson's equation (Lapalce's equation is a special case of Poisson's equation). Poisson's equation is <u>linear</u>, so we can <u>superimpose sol' ns - i</u>importantisimo!

In the spherical shell example we used the fact that gravity is "línear" i.e. we get final result by adding up partial results (this is what integration does!) So ellipsoidal earth can be represented as a solid sphere plus a hollow elliposid. Result for the gravity potential and force for an elliposid had two parts -

that for a sphere <u>plus</u> an additional term which is due to the mass in the ellipsoidal shell.

GRAVITY POTENTIAL

All gravity fields satisfy Laplace's equation in free space or material of density ρ. If V is the gravitational potential then

$$\nabla^2 V = 0$$
$$\nabla^2 V = 4\pi G\rho$$



LINEAR

- Superposition: break big problems into pieces
- Smooth, predictable motions
- Response proportional to stimulus
- Find detailed trajectories of individual particles

NON-LINEAR

- No superposition: solve whole problem at once
- Erratic, aperiodic motion
- Response need not be proportional to stimulus
- Find global, qualitative description of all possible trajectories

Linearity and Superposition

$$L(x) + L(y) = L(x + y)$$

Says order you do the "combination" does not matter.

Very important concept.

If system is linear you can break it down into little parts, solve separately and combine solutions of parts into solution for whole.

Net force of Gravity on line between Earth and Moon



Net force of Gravity for Earth composed of two spherical shells – the surface and a concentric "Core"

Solve for force from Earth and force from Core and add them. Same procedure as before (and same justification) - but probably had to think about it here. (Earth and core are again spherical shells so g=0 inside)

