CERI Rapid Response Plan (RRP) Assessment Tool Version 200811

Assessment prepared by:
Date:
Earthquake of Interest
Geographic Location:
Origin Time:
Latitude/Longitude/Depth:
Magnitude Information:

0. Initial Decision

Who decided to implement the RRP?					
When was the RRP officially implemented?					
What elements of the RRP were implemented in part or in full?					
Verification and Notification	Ν	in part	in full		

Field Studies	Ν	in part	in full
Information Response	Ν	in part	in full
Assessment	Ν	in part	in full

1. Verification and Notification

Section assessment prepared by: _____

Date and time verified hypocenter was derived:
Person(s) responsible for verified hypocenter:
Person(s) responsible for verified magnitude:

CERI Information Coordinator: _____

Was the Information Coordinator updated on location in a timely manner?	Y	Ν
Was the CERI phone message updated in a timely manner?	Y	N
Was the ENS updated in a timely manner?	Y	N
Was the state Emergency Management Agency contacted?	Y	N
Was publically available information on the CERI webpage accurate?	Y	N
Was CERI-derived public information consistent with the USGS?	Y	N
Were CERI onsite personnel kept properly informed?	Y	N
Additional comments:		

2. Field Studies

Section assessment prepared by:	
Person(s) responsible for field deployment: _	
Personnel taking part in field deployment:	

Number and type of sensors (please include a map of temporary station locations):

Date of initial deployment:	
Date instruments were removed:	

Was funding sufficient for temporary deployment?	Y	Ν
Did costs exceed initial estimates?	Y	Ν
Were instruments available for deployment in a timely manner?	Y	Ν
Were instruments in working condition at the time of deployment?	Y	Ν
Were deployment problems encountered due to technical issues with the available instrumentation at CERI?	Y	Ν
Did students or non-network related staff/faculty participate in the deployment planning?	Y	Ν
in the deployment field work?	Y	N
in temporary network maintenance?	Ŷ	N
in network removal?	Y	Ν
in post-deployment analysis?	Y	Ν
Were significant siting problems encountered that could be remedied in the fut If yes, please explain.	ture? Y	N

Was there sufficient manpower for post-deployment archiving/analysis?					Ν
How successful was the temporary deployment?	1	2	3	4	5

Additional comments:

3. Information Response

Section assessment prepared by: _____

Person(s) responsible for information response:

Personnel taking part in information response, including media interviews:

Were talking points prepared following the RRP template?	Y	Ν
If yes, please attach a copy		
Were new Historic Damage/Tectonic Summaries prepared and filed for future	e use? Y	Ν
Were the talking points disseminated to all personnel talking to media?	Y	Ν

How eff	fective/useful were the talking points?	1	2	3	4	5
Was a C	Coordination Desk implemented?				Y	Ν
Was the	Coordination Desk effective/useful?				Y	Ν
Estimat	e how many media interviews were conduct Radio	ed for				
	Traditional print					
	Television					
	Other					
Estimat	e how many media interviews were conduct At CERI	ed				
	At University of Memphis					
	Offsite					

Additional comments:

4. Assessment

Within how many months of the mainshock was this assessment conducted?		
Could this assessment be improved?	Y	N
Suggestions:		

This assessment was reviewed by the CERI Rapid Response Committee on _____.

This assessment was reviewed by the CERI Director on _____.